

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/515,369	FISHER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel M Sullivan	1636	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Daniel M Sullivan. (3) _____.

(2) Lisa Kole. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 April 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

7

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner requested authorization to amend claim 7 to correct the Markush language therein and to delete reference to a method of treating cancer from the abstract as the allowed claims do not encompass a method of treating cancer. The examiner also requested clarification of the statement in the remarks filed with the 18 March 2004 amendment indicating incorporation by reference of the 5 December 2003 amendment. Applicant's representative confirmed that the claim limitations present in the 5 December amendment are not to be incorporated into the 18 March claim set..